Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/544,180	MOHNEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CATHY K. WORLEY	1638
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>CATHY K. WORLEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Donna Ferber</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Written Description, Enablement, 102, and 103		
Claims discussed: 1, 4-11, 13, 16, 20-23, and 26		
Prior art documents discussed:  All		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Cathy K. Worley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to indicate that claims that were amended to be limited in scope to nucleic acids encoding an enzyme comprising a polypeptide with at least 95% identity to SEQ ID NO:2; wherein the polypeptide catalyzes transfer of galacturonosyl residues to an oligomer of galacturonic acid residues would be fully enabled, described, and free of the prior art of record. If the product claims are amended to this scope, then process claims that depend from or otherwise include the same limitations will be rejoined. Claims directed to an isolated polypeptide will not be rejoined, because that is subject matter that is examined in a different art unit. The Examiner hoped to negotiate Examiner's amendmets to be able to allow the case. Unfortunately, Donna Ferber informed the Examiner that the Applicant has indicated they wish to transfer the case to a different attorney, and therefore, she was not able to approve any Examiner's amendments..